Sensitivity analysis

Which demographic rates are most important to a population's stability?

The real value of demographic monitoring – knowing what's broken

- Measuring population growth rate is important
 - Provides trend information from a (relatively) short time series
 - Mechanistic based on the actual birth/death processes that determine the growth rate
- If the population is declining, what to do?
- Example of the loggerhead sea turtle, with $\lambda = 0.945$

Threats on the beach



Direct, observable, personal

For sea turtles, the beach is about reproduction

- Adult mortality on beaches *can* be a problem
 - Primarily due to poaching
 - But, poaching is illegal now if enforced effectively, adult mortality on the beach is low
- Most of the persistent problems for turtles on the beach are about reproduction
- Headstarting increases fecundity



Headstarting





That feels so much better! Does it work?



https://youtu.be/MRrJ2B1nLzM

Is headstarting enough?

- Fecundity is often not terribly important to a long-lived species
 - Adults have many opportunities to breed
 - Only need to replace themselves on average for population stability
 - Large numbers of offspring produced implies heavy mortality is to be expected
- Is it possible to stabilize the population by only improving reproduction?

The matrix model

TABLE 4. Stage-class population matrix for loggerhead sea turtles based on the life table presented in Table 3. For the general form of the matrix and formulae for calculating the matrix elements see Theoretical Population Projections.

From

То

| | Eggs, hatchlings | Small juveniles | Large juveniles | Subadults | Novice breeders | 1st-yr remigrants | Mature breeders |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Eggs, hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 |
| Small juveniles | 0.6747 | 0.7370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large juveniles | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.6610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subadults | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Novice breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st-yr remigrants | Ō | Ō | Ö | Ō | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 |
| Mature breeders | | | | | | | |

Estimating λ

| | А | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н |
|----|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | | Hatchlings | Small juvie | Large juvie | Subad. | Novice breed. | 1st yr remig. | Mature breeders |
| 2 | Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 |
| 3 | Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Lambda | 0.945030963 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | L - lambda I | -0.945030963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 |
| 13 | | 0.6747 | -0.208030963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | | 0 | 0.0486 | -0.284030963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | -0.254330963 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | -0.945030963 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | -0.945030963 | 0 |
| 18 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | -0.136130963 |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | Determ. | 6.46124E-10 | | | | | |

Measuring the effect of each demographic rate on λ

- We want to know: how important is each demographic rate have to λ?
- Two basic approaches:
 - Sensitivity = change in λ per unit change in a parameter
 - Elasticity = percent change in λ per percent change in a parameter
- Can be estimated empirically, or analytically

Empirically

Change the parameter (adult survival) by a small amount Calculate the new λ Divide the change in λ by the change in the parameter The smaller the change in parameter the better the estimate



The empirical approach to sensitivity

1. Change one parameter by 0.01

| | А | B | С | D | E | F | G | Н |
|----|-----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | | Hatchlings | Small juvie | Large juvie | Subad. | Novice breed. | 1st yr remig. | Mature breeders |
| 2 | Hatchlings | ا ا | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 |
| 3 | Small juvie | 0.6647 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Lambda | 0.945030963 | | Lambda for sens. | 0.944312609 | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |

| Parameter | Empirical sensitivity |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| T hatchling | 0.0717 |
| | |

3. Divide the difference between the lambdas by the difference between the parameters (0.01)

2. Use Solver to estimate the new lambda value

One parameter at a time...

0. Set first one back to its actual value

1. Change the next one by 0.01

| | А | В | | С | | D | E | F | G | Н | |
|----|-----------------|--------------|----|-------------|-------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | | Hatchlings / | | Small juvie | | Large juvie | Subad. | Novice breed. | 1st yr remig. | Mature breeders | |
| 2 | Hatchlings | | 0 | V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | |
| 3 | Small juvie | 0.674 | 47 | (| 0.727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 4 | Large juvie | | 0 | 0. | .0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 | Subad. | | 0 | | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6 | Novice breed. | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 | 1st yr remig. | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 | |
| 8 | Mature breeders | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Lambda | | 0.94503 | 0963 | | Lambda for sens. | 0.942757182 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Parameter | Empirical sensitivity |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| T hatchling | 0.0717 |
| S small juvie | 0.2273 |

3. Divide the difference between the lambdas by the difference between the parameters (0.01)

2. Use Solver to estimate the new lambda value

The full set

| Parameter | Empirical sensitivity |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| T hatchling | 0.0717 |
| S small juvie | 0.2273 |
| T small juvie | 1.0787 |
| S large juvie | 0.1669 |
| T large juvie | 4.7659 |
| S subad. | 0.1862 |
| T subad. | 1.0064 |
| T novice | 0.0456 |
| T 1st yr | 0.0452 |
| S mature | 0.2608 |
| F novice | 3.32E-08 |
| F 1st yr | 3.32E-08 |
| F mature | 0.0004 |

Analytical approach

- Instantaneous slope of a line tangent to the relationship between the parameter and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
- · Sensitivities can be calculated from:
 - Stable age distribution (derived from right eigenvector)
 - Reproductive values (derived from left eigenvector)
- Remember, we calculated stable age distribution by recognizing:

 $L w = \lambda w$

• We can get the left eigenvector the same way

$$v L = v \lambda$$

Analytical sensitivity is the slope of a tangent line at the estimate

Relationship between growth rate and adult survival



Right eigenvector \rightarrow stable age

| | А | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | Ι | J | К | L | М |
|----|-----------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|---------------|----|-------------|----------|
| 1 | | Н | SJ | LJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB | | Stable age (w | () | Lw | Lambda w |
| 2 | Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | | 0.2065 | | 0.195 | 0.195 |
| 3 | Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.6698 | | 0.633 | 0.633 |
| 4 | Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.1146 | | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 5 | Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0066 | | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| 6 | Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0004 | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 7 | 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 | | 0.0003 | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 8 | Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 | | 0.0018 | | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Lambda | 0.945031 | | | | | | | | SS | 1.22188E-16 | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Left eigenvector \rightarrow reproductive value

| | A | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | ALC: N |
|----|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| 1 | | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB | |
| 2 | Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | |
| 3 | Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02200 |
| 4 | Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T AVANA |
| 5 | Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6 | Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 | 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 | |
| 8 | Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | Lambda | 0.945031 | | | | | | COLUMN 1 |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | Determ. | 5.9E-11 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | INVANI. |
| 14 | Reproductive value (v) | 0.000559 | 0.000783 | 0.003353 | 0.064788 | 0.318099 | 0.283755 | 0.328662 | 1 MALE |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | AVE A 2 CO |
| 16 | vL | 0.000529 | 0.00074 | 0.003169 | 0.061226 | 0.300613 | 0.268158 | 0.310596 | 102010 |
| 17 | v Lambda | 0.000529 | 0.00074 | 0.003169 | 0.061226 | 0.300613 | 0.268158 | 0.310596 | 100000 |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | TUX NUT |
| 19 | SS | 1.2E-13 | | | | | | | 10000 |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |

Reproductive value is the average contribution of individuals in an age class to the population

| 1 | | A | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|
| / | V | | |
| - | | - | |

| NAMES OF | | | | | | | | | | Stable age (w) |
|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|----------------|
| 2018 | Reproductive value (v) | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0034 | 0.0648 | 0.3181 | 0.2838 | 0.3287 | х | 0.207 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.670 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.115 |
| New Y | | | | | | | | | | 0.007 |
| NR III | | | | | | | | | | 0.000 |
| | | | vw | | | | | | | 0.000 |
| 1997 | 0.0006x0.207 + 0.0008x | 0.670 + = | 0.0023 | | | | | | | 0.002 |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | |

Matrix multiply reproductive value (v) by stable age (w) – single number

Calculating sensitivity

1. Multiply the "from" class stable age...

| | | | | | | | | | - |
|------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|------|----------------|
| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB | | Stable age (w) |
| Hatchlings | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | | 0.207 |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.670 |
| Large juvie | C | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.115 |
| Subad. | C | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.007 |
| Novice breed. | C | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0.000 |
| 1st yr remig. | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 | | 0.000 |
| Mature breeders | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 | | 0.002 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | vw |
| Reproductive value (v) | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0034 | 0.0648 | 0.3181 | 0.2838 | 0.3287 | | 0.0023 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Zk | by the to ci | ass reprodu | clive value. | | | 3 | divide the |
| | Sensitivity of | lambda to: | | | | | | prod | uct by vw |
| | T hatching | 0.071 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Sensitivity from w and v

- The full set of empirical and analytical sensitivities
- Not identical analytical is instantaneous, better

| Parameter | Empirical sensitivity | Analytical sensitivity |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| T hatchling | 0.0717 | 0.0714 |
| S small juvie | 0.2273 | 0.2317 |
| T small juvie | 1.0787 | 0.9917 |
| S large juvie | 0.1669 | 0.1697 |
| T large juvie | 4.7659 | 3.2788 |
| S subad. | 0.1862 | 0.1895 |
| T subad. | 1.0064 | 0.9305 |
| T novice | 0.0456 | 0.0455 |
| T 1st yr | 0.0452 | 0.0451 |
| S mature | 0.2608 | 0.2681 |
| F novice | 3.32E-08 | 0.0001 |
| F 1st yr | 3.32E-08 | 0.0001 |
| F mature | 0.0004 | 0.0005 |

Differences in units make sensitivities hard to interpret

- Sensitivities for reproduction are low, but the scale is very different
 - Fecundities can be any positive number (can be huge)
 - Survival is constrained to fall between 0 and 1
- Elasticity scales the sensitivities to a proportional scale
 - If we changed each parameter by the same proportional amount (10% of the value, rather than the same 0.01) we would measure elasticity
- Analytically, if we multiply sensitivity by the parameter and then divide by λ we get elasticity

Adult fecundity

Adult survival

Sensitivity – change in lambda with the same small amount of change in the parameter

Elasticity – change in lambda with the same small proportional change in the parameter



Elasticity is a unitless ratio

sensitivity = $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s}$

elasticity = $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} \times \frac{s}{\lambda} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\lambda} \div \frac{\partial s}{s}$

Elasticities

| | Parameter | Empirical sensitivity | Analytical sensitivity | Elasticity |
|--|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | T hatchling | 0.0717 | 0.0714 | 0.0510 |
| A VI. MUN | S small juvie | 0.2273 | 0.2317 | 0.1807 |
| 10.000 | T small juvie | 1.0787 | 0.9917 | 0.0510 |
| | S large juvie | 0.1669 | 0.1697 | 0.1187 |
| V 101511 | T large juvie | 4.7659 | 3.2788 | 0.0510 |
| | S subad. | 0.1862 | 0.1895 | 0.1385 |
| | T subad. | 1.0064 | 0.9305 | 0.0510 |
| N. N | T novice | 0.0456 | 0.0455 | 0.0390 |
| ALL MALLER | T 1st yr | 0.0452 | 0.0451 | 0.0386 |
| AVA VALLA | S mature | 0.2608 | 0.2681 | 0.2295 |
| | F novice | 3.32E-08 | 0.0001 | 0.0121 |
| | F 1st yr | 3.32E-08 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 |
| 1.1/1/10/10/10/10 | F mature | 0.0004 | 0.0005 | 0.0386 |
| | | | | |

What the elasticities tell us



FIG. 3. The elasticity, or proportional sensitivity, of λ_m to changes in fecundity F_i (O), survival while remaining in the same stage P_i (Δ), and survival with growth G_i (\Box). Because the elasticities of these matrix elements sum to 1, they can be compared directly in terms of their contribution to the population growth rate r.

The most important parameter is mature adult survival

The most important fecundity parameter is fecundity of mature adults, but it is never nearly as important as adult survival

Some caveats...

- No matter how small the sensitivity/elasticity, no parameter can drop to 0 and have the population persist
- There are other considerations
 - Headstarting is a way for people to get involved in sea turtle conservation
 - Baby turtles make people care more about sea turtles
- But, if you want to actually stop the population decline, you'll have a bigger impact by protecting adults

Management alternatives

- What can be done?
- How much improvement is needed to stabilize the population?
- Headstarting how many more offspring per individual needed to achieve a λ of 1?

Improvement to reproduction needed

| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2. Have |
| Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | solver |
| Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | adult |
| 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 | fecundity |
| Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 | , |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Lambda | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | — 1. Se | et lambda | a to 1 | | |
| | Determ. | -0.0033 | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |

3. ...until the determinant is 0

Huge increase needed...

| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB |
|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 284.384 |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Lambda | 1 | | Multiple | 3.5548 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Determ. | 3.3E-10 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

...even if all three fecundities increased

| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB |
|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346.939 | 10.9272 | 218.544 |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.8089 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Lambda | 1 | | Multiple | 2.7318 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Determ. | -6E-18 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Fecundity would have to increase by 2.7318 times for all age classes to stabilize the population

Increasing adult survival...

| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0 | 0 |
| Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8091 | 0.94624 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Lambda | 1 | | Multiple | 1.16979 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

A much smaller increase in adult survival alone stabilizes the population

...less still if all adult survival rates improve

| | Н | SJ | IJ | SuA | NB | 1Y | MB | |
|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Hatchlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 4 | 80 | |
| Small juvie | 0.6747 | 0.737 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Large juvie | 0 | 0.0486 | 0.661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Subad. | 0 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.6907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Novice breed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1st yr remig. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.92928 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mature breeders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.92928 | 0.92905 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Lambda | 1 | | Multiple | 1.14854 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Determ. | 1.4E-11 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Only a 14.8% increase in all adult survival probabilities needed to stabilize the population

Protecting adults





Turtle excluder devices



Pollution control





Reducing dumping

Take-home: sensitivity/elasticity

- Elasticity is the better measure unitless, better for comparing among rates
- Useful for identifying which demographic rates are most important for population growth/stability
- The models can be used to evaluate management alternatives
 - How much improvement is needed?
 - Is the amount of improvement needed achievable, or even possible?